```
SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
   United States Attorney
   JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief. Civil Division
   EDWARD A. OLSEN, CSBN 214150
 4
   Assistant United States Attorney
 5
       450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
       San Francisco, California 94102
       Telephone: (415) 436-6915
 6
       FAX: (415) 436-6927
 7
    Attorneys for Defendants
 8
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                            NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
   ZHIGANG BAI and JINGXU ZHAO,
12
                                                   No. C 07-5251 SI
13
                       Plaintiffs.
                                                   ANSWER
14
                v.
15 EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director of the United
   States Citizenship and Immigration Services;
   UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND
   IMMIGRATION SERVICES; MICHAEL
   CHERTOFF, Secretary of the Department of
   Homeland Security; PETER D. KEISLER,
   Acting United States Attorney General;
   ROBERT MUELLER, Director of the Federal
19
   Bureau of Investigations; and FEDERAL
   BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS,
20
                       Defendants.
21
       The Defendants hereby submit their answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint for a Writ in Nature of
22
    Mandamus to Compel Administrative Action.
                                     I. INTRODUCTION
24
       1. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph One.
25
26
                                         II. PARTIES
27
       2. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Two.
28
       3. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Three.
    ANSWER
    C 07-5251 SI
                                               1
```

V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Fifteen.
- 16. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Sixteen, although USCIS records indicate the I-140 petition was filed on August 9, 2005.
 - 17. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Seventeen.
 - 18. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Eighteen.

28 ///

22

23

24

25

26

27

ANSWER C 07-5251 SI

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. Facts Supporting Mandamus

- 19. Defendants admit the first and second sentences in Paragraph Nineteen; however, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 20. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty.
 - 21. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-One.
 - 22. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Two.
 - 23. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Three.
 - 24. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Four.
- 25. Paragraph Twenty-Five consists of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the lawsuit for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Five.
 - 26. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Six.
 - 27. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Seven.

B. Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act

- 28. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Eight.
- 29. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Nine.
- 30. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Thirty.
- 31. Defendants are without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph Thirty-One.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The remaining paragraphs consists of Plaintiffs' prayer for relief, to which no admission or denial is required; to the extent a responsive pleading is deemed to be required, Defendants deny this paragraph.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27 Plaintiffs' complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

ANSWER C 07-5251 SI

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 The court should dismiss the Complaint for lack for subject matter jurisdiction. 3 WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for relief as follows: That judgment be entered for Defendants and against Plaintiffs, dismissing Plaintiffs' 4 Complaint with prejudice; that Plaintiffs take nothing; and that the Court grant such further relief 5 6 as it deems just and proper under the circumstances. 7 Dated: December 21, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 8 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney 9 10 /s/EDWARD A. OLSEN Assistant United States Attorney 11 Attorneys for Defendants 12 13 14 15 16 **17** 18 19 20 21 **22** 23 24 25 26 27 28 **ANSWER**

4

C 07-5251 SI